



Chebyshev nets formed by Ricci curves in a 3-dimensional Weyl space

Gülçin Çivi Yıldırım^{a,*}, Abdülkadir Özdeğer^b

^a *Istanbul Technical University, Faculty of Science and Letters, Department of Mathematics,
34469 Maslak-Istanbul, Turkey*

^b *Kadir Has University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, 34230 Cibali-Istanbul, Turkey*

Received 28 October 2002; accepted 16 May 2003

Abstract

In this paper, Ricci curves in a 3-dimensional Weyl space $W_3(g, T)$ are defined and it is shown that any 3-dimensional Chebyshev net formed by the three families of Ricci curves in a $W_3(g, T)$ having a definite metric and Ricci tensors is either a geodesic net or it consists of a geodesic subnet the members of which have vanishing second curvatures. In the case of an indefinite Ricci tensor, only one of the members of the geodesic subnet under consideration has a vanishing second curvature.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: primary 53A30; secondary 53A40

Keywords: Weyl space; Ricci curve; Chebyshev net; Geodesic net

1. Introduction

A manifold of dimension n with a conformal metric tensor g and a symmetric connection ∇ satisfying the compatibility condition

$$\nabla g - 2g \otimes T = 0$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: civi@itu.edu.tr (G.Ç. Yıldırım), [aозdeger@khas.edu.tr](mailto:aozdeger@khas.edu.tr) (A. Özdeğer).

or, in local coordinates,

$$\nabla_k g_{ij} - 2T_k g_{ij} = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where T is a 1-form (covariant vector field) is called a Weyl space which will be denoted by $W_n(g, T)$ [1]. Under the renormalization

$$\tilde{g} = \lambda^2 g \tag{1.2}$$

of the metric tensor g , T is transformed by the rule

$$\tilde{T}_k = T_k + \partial_k(\ln \lambda)$$

where λ is a scalar function defined on $W_3(g, T)$ [1].

If, under the renormalization (1.2) of the metric tensor g the quantity A is changed according to the rule

$$\tilde{A} = \lambda^p A,$$

then A is called a satellite of g of weight $\{p\}$.

The prolonged covariant derivative of A with respect to ∇ is defined by

$$\dot{\nabla}_k A = \nabla_k A - p T_k A. \tag{1.3}$$

Let R_{ij} be the components of the Ricci tensor of the 3-dimensional Weyl space $W_3(g, T)$ and let $R_{(ij)}$ the symmetric part of R_{ij} . Let the principal directions and the corresponding principal values of $R_{(ij)}$ be denoted, respectively, by v_1, v_2, v_3 and M_1, M_2, M_3 . We then have

$$(R_{(ij)} + M_r g_{ij}) v_r^i = 0 \quad (i, j, r = 1, 2, 3). \tag{1.4}$$

It is clear that R_{ij} and M_r are satellites of g of weights $\{0\}$ and $\{-2\}$, respectively. We call v_1, v_2 and v_3 the Ricci's principal directions. The integral curves of these vector fields will be named as the Ricci curves of $W_3(g, T)$. These curves may be considered as the generalization of Ricci curves in a Riemannian space [2–4] to a Weyl space. Since g is assumed to be definite, the Ricci curves are all real.

Suppose that the vector fields v_1, v_2 and v_3 are normalized by the conditions

$$g_{ij} v_r^i v_r^j = 1 \quad (r = 1, 2, 3).$$

Accordingly, from (1.4) it follows that

$$M_r = -R_{(ij)} v_r^i v_r^j = -R_{ij} v_r^i v_r^j, \tag{1.5}$$

$$R_{(ij)} v_r^i v_s^j = 0 \quad (r \neq s). \tag{1.6}$$

We note that M_r is the mean curvature of $W_3(g, T)$ in the direction of v_r .

2. Chebyshev nets formed by Ricci curves in a $W_3(g, T)$

Let $\delta \equiv (v, v, v)$ be the 3-dimensional net formed by the tangent vector fields v, v and v of the three families of Ricci curves in $W_3(g, T)$.

If any vector field belonging to δ undergoes a parallel displacement along the integral curves of the remaining two vector fields in δ , then δ is said to be a Chebyshev net of the first kind or, simply, a Chebyshev net [5].

Suppose that δ is a Chebyshev net. This will be the case if and only if the conditions

$$v^k \dot{\nabla}_k v_s^i = 0 \quad (r \neq s; r, s = 1, 2, 3). \quad (2.1)$$

Let n_r and b_r be, respectively, the principal normal and binormal vector fields of the integral curve C_r of the vector field v_r which are normalized by the conditions $g_{ij} n_r^i n_r^j = 1$, $g_{ij} b_r^i b_r^j = 1$. In this case, the Frenet formulas

$$v^k \dot{\nabla}_k v_r^j = \rho n_r^j, \quad v^k \dot{\nabla}_k n_r^j = -\rho v_r^j + \tau b_r^j, \quad v^k \dot{\nabla}_k b_r^j = -\tau n_r^j \quad (2.2)$$

hold [1].

Taking the absolute derivative of (1.4) in the direction of v_s^l and transvecting the so-obtained equation by n_r^j and remembering that $g_{ij} v_r^i n_r^j = 0$, we get

$$v_s^l (\dot{\nabla}_l R_{(ij)}) v_r^i n_r^j + (R_{(ij)} + M g_{ij}) n_r^j v_s^l \dot{\nabla}_l v_r^i = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Since δ is assumed to be a Chebyshev net, according to (2.1), (2.3) becomes

$$v_s^l \dot{\nabla}_l R_{(ij)} v_r^i n_r^j = 0 \quad (r \neq s). \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} n_1^j &= \cos \theta_1 v_2^j + \sin \theta_1 v_3^j, & n_2^j &= \cos \theta_2 v_3^j + \sin \theta_2 v_1^j, \\ n_3^j &= \cos \theta_3 v_1^j + \sin \theta_3 v_2^j, \\ b_1^j &= \cos \theta_1 v_3^j - \sin \theta_1 v_2^j, & b_2^j &= \cos \theta_2 v_1^j - \sin \theta_2 v_3^j, \\ b_3^j &= \cos \theta_3 v_2^j - \sin \theta_3 v_1^j \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\theta_1 = \angle(v, n), \quad \theta_2 = \angle(v, n), \quad \theta_3 = \angle(v, n).$$

Choosing $r = 1, s = 2$ in (2.4) and using the relations (2.5) we find that

$$v_2^l (\dot{\nabla}_l R_{(ij)}) v_1^i v_2^j \cos \theta_1 + v_2^l (\dot{\nabla}_l R_{(ij)}) v_1^i v_3^j \sin \theta_1 = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

The absolute derivative of (1.6) in the directions of v_p^l is

$$v_p^l (\dot{\nabla}_l R_{(ij)}) v_r^i v_s^j + v_p^l (\dot{\nabla}_l v_r^i) R_{(ij)} v_s^j + v_p^l (\dot{\nabla}_l v_s^j) R_{(ij)} v_r^i = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Taking first $r = 1, p = s = 2$ and then $p = 2, r = 1, s = 3$ in (2.7) we, respectively, obtain

$$v^l (\dot{\nabla}_l R_{(ij)}) v^i v^j = -v^l (\dot{\nabla}_l v^j) R_{(ij)} v^i \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$v^l (\dot{\nabla}_l R_{(ij)}) v^i v^j = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

By (2.8) and (2.9), (2.6) reduces to

$$v^l (\dot{\nabla}_l v^i) v^j R_{(ij)} \cos \theta_1 = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, since the vector $v^l (\dot{\nabla}_l v^j)$ is perpendicular to v^j , we can write

$$v^l (\dot{\nabla}_l v^j) = \rho n^j = \lambda_2 v^j + \mu_2 v^j \tag{2.11}$$

so that, by (1.5) and (1.6), (2.10) transforms into

$$\lambda_2 M \cos \theta_1 = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Similarly, choosing $r = 2, s = 1; r = 3, s = 1; r = 3, s = 2; r = 1, s = 3$ and $r = 2, s = 3$ in (2.4) and making use of (1.5), (1.6), (2.1), (2.5) and (2.7) we, respectively, obtain

$$\lambda_1 M \sin \theta_2 = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\mu_1 M \cos \theta_3 = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\mu_2 M \sin \theta_3 = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\lambda_3 M \sin \theta_1 = 0, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\mu_3 M \cos \theta_2 = 0 \tag{2.17}$$

in which the functions $\lambda_1, \mu_1, \lambda_3$ and μ_3 are defined by

$$v^l (\dot{\nabla}_l v^j) = \rho n^j = \lambda_1 v^j + \mu_1 v^j, \tag{2.18}$$

$$v^l (\dot{\nabla}_l v^j) = \rho n^j = \lambda_3 v^j + \mu_3 v^j. \tag{2.19}$$

Case I. Let the Ricci tensor R_{ij} be definite. Since, by (1.5),

$$M = -R_{(ij)} v^i v^j = -R_{ij} v^i v^j \quad (r = 1, 2, 3)$$

the conditions (2.12)–(2.17) are, respectively, reduced to

$$\lambda_2 \cos \theta_1 = 0, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\lambda_1 \sin \theta_2 = 0, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\mu_1 \cos \theta_3 = 0, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\mu_2 \sin \theta_3 = 0, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\lambda_3 \sin \theta_1 = 0, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\mu_3 \cos \theta_2 = 0. \tag{2.25}$$

Case I-a. $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Under this condition, (2.21) and (2.25) give

$$\theta_2 = 0, \quad \mu_3 = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Since $\theta_2 = 0$, from (2.5) it follows that

$$\begin{matrix} b \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} = v, \quad \begin{matrix} n \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{matrix} = v. \quad (2.27)$$

Then, by (2.2), we have

$$0 = v^j \dot{\nabla}_j v^i = v^j \dot{\nabla}_j b^i = -\tau n^i, \quad (2.28)$$

$$0 = v^j \dot{\nabla}_j v^i = v^j \dot{\nabla}_j n^i = -\rho v^i + \tau b^i \quad (2.29)$$

from which it follows that

$$\rho = \tau = 0. \quad (2.30)$$

By (2.11) we have

$$\lambda_2 = \mu_2 = 0, \quad (2.31)$$

showing that Eqs. (2.20) and (2.23) are automatically satisfied. Moreover, by (2.2), (2.18) and (2.19) we obtain

$$v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v^i = \rho n^i = \lambda_3 v^i \quad (2.32)$$

from which we have either

- (a) $\rho = \lambda_3 \neq 0$ ($n = v$) or
 (b) $\rho = \lambda_3 = 0$.

In the case (a), by (2.5), $n = v$ implies $\theta_3 = 0$ and $b = v$. So, we must have

$$0 = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v^i = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l n^i = -\rho v^i + \tau b^i = -\rho v^i + \tau v^i \Rightarrow \rho = \tau = 0$$

contradicting the condition $\rho \neq 0$. Consequently, only the case (b), i.e.,

$$\rho = \lambda_3 = 0 \quad (2.33)$$

can occur.

Under these conditions, Eqs. (2.20)–(2.25) are reduced to the single equation

$$\mu_1 \cos \theta_3 = 0. \quad (2.34)$$

In (2.34) μ_1 can not vanish since, otherwise, by (2.2) and (2.18) we must have

$$v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v^i = \rho n^i = \lambda_1 v^i$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{matrix} n \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{matrix} = v \quad (\rho = \lambda_1 \neq 0) \quad (2.35)$$

and, consequently, by (2.5)

$$\theta_1 = 0, \quad b_1 = v_3. \tag{2.36}$$

But (2.35), (2.36), (2.1) and (2.2) imply that

$$0 = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v^i = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l n^i = -\rho v^i + \tau b^i = -\rho v^i + \tau v^i \Rightarrow \rho = \tau = 0$$

contradicting the condition $\rho = \lambda_1 \neq 0$.

So, in (2.34) $\mu_1 \neq 0$ so that $\theta_3 = \pi/2$. From (2.5), we get

$$n_3 = v_2, \quad b_3 = -v_1$$

by means of which we obtain

$$0 = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v^i = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l n^i = -\rho v^i + \tau b^i = -\rho v^i - \tau v^i$$

or equivalently

$$\rho = \tau = 0, \tag{2.37}$$

where we have used (2.1) and (2.2).

(2.30) and (2.37) show that the two families of Ricci curves which are the integral curves of the vector fields v and v are geodesics with vanishing torsion (second curvature).

In a very similar way, it can be shown that

$$\lambda_i \neq 0 \text{ implies } \mu_i \neq 0, \lambda_j = \mu_j = 0 \ (i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, 3), \tag{2.38}$$

$$\mu_i \neq 0 \text{ implies } \lambda_i \neq 0, \mu_j = \lambda_j = 0 \ (j \neq i). \tag{2.39}$$

But these conditions say that the two families of Ricci curves are geodesics with vanishing second curvatures in Case I-a.

Case I-b. $\lambda_1 = 0$. We first note that $\mu_1 = 0$ since according to (2.39) $\mu_1 \neq 0$ would imply $\lambda_1 \neq 0$.

Case I-b₁. $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 = 0, \mu_2 \neq 0$ (or $\mu_3 \neq 0$). In this case, according to (2.39) $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_3 = \mu_3 = 0$ so that

$$\rho_1 = 0, \quad \rho_3 = 0.$$

Under these conditions, from (2.20) and (2.23) we find that $\theta_1 = \pi/2$ and $\theta_3 = 0$, respectively. Then, by (2.5) we obtain

$$n_1 = v_3 \quad \text{and} \quad n_3 = v_1$$

by means of which we get

$$0 = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v^i = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l n^i = -\rho v^i + \tau b^i \Rightarrow \rho = \tau = 0,$$

$$0 = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v^i = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l n^i = -\rho v^i + \tau b^i \Rightarrow \rho = \tau = 0.$$

Accordingly, the two families of Ricci curves are geodesics with vanishing second curvatures (torsions).

It is easy to see that a similar conclusion may be drawn for the

Case I-b₂. $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ (or $\lambda_3 \neq 0$).

Case I-c. $\lambda_i = \mu_i = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). In this case, Eqs. (2.20)–(2.25) are automatically satisfied. By (2.11), (2.18) and (2.19) we have

$$\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0$$

showing that the three families of Ricci curves are geodesics.

According to the above considerations these are the main cases that have to be considered.

It is to be noted that in the Case I-c, $W_3(g, T)$ becomes an affine space since it has one Chebyshevian and geodesic net.

We are now able to state

Theorem 2.1. *In a $W_3(g, T)$ having a definite metric and a definite Ricci tensor any 3-dimensional Chebyshev net formed by the three families of Ricci curves is either a geodesic net or it consists of a geodesic sub-net whose members have vanishing second curvatures (torsions).*

Case II. We now consider the case for which $W_3(g, T)$ has an indefinite Ricci tensors and assume that the Ricci's principal values M_1, M_2 and M_3 are distinct. Then, only one of M_1, M_2 and M_3 may be zero. So, if we take $M_1 = 0$ ($M_2 \neq M_3 \neq 0$) in (2.12) and (2.16) then Eqs. (2.13)–(2.17) are respectively transformed into Eqs. (2.21)–(2.23) and (2.25). Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \sin \theta_2 &= 0, & \mu_1 \cos \theta_3 &= 0, \\ \mu_2 \sin \theta_3 &= 0, & \mu_3 \cos \theta_2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

Let $\lambda_1 = 0$ in (2.40). Then according to (2.2) and (2.18) we get

$$v_1^l \nabla_l v_1^i = \mu_1 v_3^i = \rho n_{11}^i$$

from which we have either

(a) $\mu_1 = \rho \neq 0$ implying $v_3 = n_1$ ($\theta_1 = \pi/2$), $b_1 = -v_2$ so that according to (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain

$$0 = v_1^l \nabla_l v_3^i = v_1^l \nabla_l n_1^i = -\rho v_{11}^i + \tau b_{11}^i = -\rho v_{11}^i - \tau v_{12}^i$$

which is impossible, or

(b) $\mu_1 = \rho = 0$. (2.41)

In this case, Eqs. (2.40) reduce to

$$\mu_2 \sin \theta_3 = 0, \quad (2.42)$$

$$\mu_3 \cos \theta_2 = 0. \quad (2.43)$$

These equations will be satisfied if

- (i) $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = 0$ or
- (ii) $\mu_2 \neq 0$ (or $\mu_3 \neq 0$).

In the case of (i), with the help of (2.2), (2.11) and (2.19) we respectively have

$$v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v^i = \rho_{22} n^i = \lambda_2 v^i, \tag{2.44}$$

$$v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v^i = \rho_{33} n^i = \lambda_3 v^i. \tag{2.45}$$

The respective solutions of (2.44) and (2.45) are

$$\rho_2 = \lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_3 = \lambda_3 = 0. \tag{2.46}$$

Note that the cases $\rho_2 = \lambda_2 \neq 0$ and $\rho_3 = \lambda_3 \neq 0$ in (2.44) and (2.45) can not occur.

Combining (2.41) and (2.46) we conclude that in the case of (i) three families of Ricci curves become geodesics.

In the case of (ii), from (2.42), we obtain $\theta_3 = 0$. Then, by means of (2.5), (2.1) and (2.2) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} n_3^1 &= v_1, & b_3^2 &= v_2, \\ 0 &= v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v_1^i = v^l \dot{\nabla}_l n_3^i = -\rho_{33} v^i + \tau_{33} b^i \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently

$$\rho_3 = \tau_3 = 0. \tag{2.47}$$

On the other hand, by (2.2), (2.27) and (2.47) we get

$$v^l \dot{\nabla}_l v_3^i = \rho_{33} n^i = 0 = \lambda_3 v_1^i + \mu_3 v_2^i$$

from which it follows that $\lambda_3 = \mu_3 = 0$. So, Eq. (2.43) is also satisfied.

Eqs. (2.41) and (2.47) say that in case of (ii) the two families of Ricci curves are geodesics one family of which has vanishing second curvature.

Hence we may state

Theorem 2.2. *In a $W_3(g, T)$ having a definite metric tensor and an indefinite Ricci tensor whose principal directions are distinct, any 3-dimensional Chebyshev net formed by the three families of Ricci curves is either a geodesic net or it consists of a geodesic subnet a member of which has a vanishing second curvature.*

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for useful remarks.

References

- [1] V. Hlavaty, Theorie d'immersion d'une W_m dans W_n , *Ann. Soc. Polon. Math.* 21 (1949) 196–206.
- [2] P. Walberer, Riemannsche Raume mit vorgeschriebenen Riccikurven, *Hamburg Abhandlungen* 10 (1934) 136–151.
- [3] L. Eisenhart, The Ricci principal direction vectors, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 45 (1959) 1028–1031.
- [4] C.E. Weatherburn, *An Introduction to Riemannian Geometry and the Tensor Calculus*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1966.
- [5] G. Zlatanov, B. Tsareva, On the geometry of the nets in the n -dimensional space of Weyl, *J. Geometry* 38 (1990) 182–197.