Management Information Systems: The Virtual Team Scorecard (VTS)

Birgit OBERER

Department of Information Technology, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Kadir Has University Istanbul, Turkey

and

Alptekin ERKOLLAR Department of Business Informatics, Faculty of Business Administration, Halic University Istanbul, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Organizations resisting changes are missing opportunities to grow or to become or remain competitive. Management Information Systems (MIS) used as decision support systems may support organizations in making use of changes in environmental conditions. Nevertheless, innovative structures are needed to motivate organizations contributing in change projects. In this study, a virtual team scorecard, which can be used as a management information system, enabling requirements analyses for managing virtual teams in organizations and in virtual teams with members of different organizations, was developed in two different versions: (a) an internal VTS and (b) an inter-organizational VTS. The results revealed that the developed virtual team scorecard covers all the main strategic factors from a management perspective and, in particular, relies on the qualitative factors of the team, determines the quality and group dynamics deficits of a team, and may provide clues for knowing which factors should receive special attention. Furthermore, the developed scorecard can be used for inter-organizational teams and any kind of structured co-operation between organizations.

Keywords: scorecard, team, management information system, VTS, balanced scorecard

1. INTRODUCTION

Management information systems deal with improving the performance of organizations and people using different approaches, concepts, tools, and information technology systems. MIS are developed to combine different technologies, processes, organizational mechanism, focusing on internal and external requirements and restrictions. The success of management information systems can be measured on the (a) organizational, (b) team, (c) partnership or (d) individual level.

In this study, the main focus is placed on the team (b) and partnership (c) levels, analyzing how the balanced scorecard approach could be used to develop a tool to manage the team and partnership activities of organizations, focusing on virtual teams.

The virtual team scorecard (VTS) is a tool that can be used to complete management information systems in providing management support on the team and partnership level.

2. MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS

The abbreviation MIS stands for 'management information systems'. The general MIS concept focuses on providing information in a suitable, comprehensive form for different levels of decision makers on different hierarchical levels. Adding the IT dimension to the traditional MIS approach, allows decision makers to focus on speed, reliability, and accuracy of data as well as on increased data volumes and the need for new systems to handle this new dimension [3,4]. Management information systems are systems providing information about business operations, and include applications such as decision support systems, resource management systems, or project management systems. These systems provide information to decision makers, mainly in the middle and upper management, enabling them to prepare improved plans and a control mechanism for different operations within an organization. Management information systems ensure that the needed data are collected from various sources, processed, stored and distributed in an appropriate way to predefined destinations within organizations or to defined destinations outside [1,2,5]. In this work, MIS refers to a management information system, consisting of IT systems, which generate information for decision makers to achieve organizational

3. MANAGING A VIRTUAL TEAM

objectives and concepts and tools used as information systems

for management.

Considering management information systems (MIS) as main resources of information provision for middle and upper management, different dimensions of MIS have to be considered. According to Jamwal and Singh [4], an MIS strategy consists of the components (1) information strategy, (2) IT strategy, (3) information management strategy and (4) (change) implementation strategy. Focusing on MIS competences and strategies, MIS resources could be divided in a) technological, b) human and c) relationship ones [4]. Considering (virtual) teams, which are in the point of interest of this contribution, the intangible dimension of these three types of resources is a critical one. The intangible dimension could include aspects like MIS human capital or MIS partnership quality. The rise of the Internet has provided new types of knowledge sharing and collaboration options for companies, in which they can hire geographically dispersed knowledge

workers and create so-called virtual teams of these knowledge workers [1]. Focusing on these new collaborations and knowledge sharing options, the intangible dimension of MIS resources have to be considered. Managing virtual teams means focusing on the intangible dimension human capital with the sub-dimensions (1) INTERNAL, with (a) staff, (b) groups, (c) group dynamics, (d) virtual aspects, (3) virtual performance; and (2) INTER-ORGANIZATIONAL, with (a) partnerships, (b) virtual aspects and (c) stakeholder relevant aspects. One MIS tool to be used for focusing on the internal and interorganizational dimensions is the virtual team scorecard (VTS), developed in this study following the balanced scorecard approach. The VTS is introduced in chapter 4. The balanced scorecard (BSC) is a performance measurement instrument, in which objectives, measures, and actions to be taken are organized following a structure of defined dimensions. These dimensions are flexible to define; the basic balanced scorecard was introduced by Kaplan & Norton in 1996 and further developed in 2004 [6,8]. In the basic scorecard, Kaplan and Norton focused on the dimensions finance, customers, learning, and an internal one. For each dimension they defined objectives, targets, and measurements, showing how dimensions influence each other. Later on, other researchers added other dimensions according to the focus they had within their studies.

4. THE VIRTUAL TEAM SCORECARD (VTS)

The virtual team scorecard (VTS) follows the general balanced scorecard approach. It can be used as a supplement to existing MIS tools and systems to focus on the internal and interorganizational dimensions of MIS. The (1) internal VTS includes staff in general, groups within the organization focusing on intangible assets such as group dynamics, virtual performance, and other virtual aspects.

The (2) inter-organizational VTS concentrates on partnerships in general combined with stakeholder relevant aspects and general virtual aspects.

Table 1: Internal VTS (template)	Table	1: Internal	VTS	(template)
----------------------------------	-------	-------------	-----	------------

	(1) Internal VT	S (template	\ \	1	
Overview	/:	s (template)		
[fill in a des	cription]				
Objectives					
No	Objective	Comme	nt		
1	Increasing the team index	satisfaction	Sample		
2	Improving virtual perform	Sample			
n	Strengthen the supply chai	sample			
Detailed st			1		
				X	
dimension	Sub-dimensions	Key perform		Priority &	
		indicato	Time frame		
(1)	Group dynamics	e.g. Organiz			
STAFF	Virtual performance	satisfaction	Weight for each sub-		
	Other virtual aspects	Virtual	Virtual		
		participation	index	dimension	
(2)	Group dynamics	e.g. grou		Weight for	
GROUPS	Virtual performance	interaction i	ndex,	each sub-	
	Other virtual aspects	team mana		dimension	
		satisfaction	index		
		amount of g			
		complair	nts		
		amount of g	roup		
		delays			

Table 2: Inter-org	anizational VTS (te	emplate)	
(2) I	nter-organizational	VTS (template)	
Overview:			1 To
[fill in a description]		
Objectives			
No	Objective	Com	ment
1	Improve the supply	Samp	ole
	chain performance		
2	To be defined		
n	To be defined		
Detailed structure			X
dimension	Sub-dimensions	Key	Priority &
		performance	Time
	_	indicators	frame
(1)	Partner	e.g. partner	W. 1. 1. C
PARTNERSHIPS	satisfaction	satisfaction index	Weight for each sub-
	Supply chain		dimension
	performance Customer	supply chain index	dimension
	satisfaction	mucx	
(2)	Stakeholder	e.g. stakeholder	Weight for
STAKEHOLDER	satisfaction	interaction	each sub-
	Environment	index,	dimension
	performance	environment	
	-	satisfaction	
		index	
		amount of	
		complaints	
		amount of	
		delays	

In the internal VTS template, objectives have to be defined (same for the inter-organizational VTS template). A detailed structure shows the dimensions defined, corresponding subdimensions, key performance indicators, and priority rules and time frames.

In general for both VTS you define the dimensions: staff and groups for the internal VTS; partnerships and stakeholders for the inter-organizational one. If needed, additional dimensions could be defined. On the whole, a VTS should not have more than seven dimensions to keep the tools simple and to be able to show the interdependences of the dimensions properly. After defining the dimensions, appropriate sub-dimensions could be flexible defined (not mandatory). Sub-dimensions pre-defined but could be modified are group dynamics, virtual performance and other virtual aspects for the internal VTS; and partner satisfaction, supply chain performance and customer satisfaction for the inter-organizational VTS. For each dimension/sub-dimension, key performance indicators have to be defined; which could be used to measure the outcome of the pre-defined objectives and targets for all dimensions and the organization itself. Key performance indicators (KPI) for the internal VTS, which were pre-defined, are the organization satisfaction index, the virtual participation index, or the amount of group complaints; for the inter-organizational VTS, the supply chain index, environment satisfaction or stakeholder satisfaction index were pre-defined.

Table 3 shows a combined internal and inter-organizational VTS applied in an international company in the telecommunications industry.

Table 3: internal and inter-organizational VTS (in use)

Plicity	Melli Bu Ghecclicae	Contradient die die sollteren	Instead	Han Fores	Outer Outer	The c	100	Pates	UA2	647 65		Bres	AltriConserts	Whe	Data to
,	Ранк	Only worg PR status arke carcellation during Installation/strend Instead of carcellation, other	1147		18	DATE	1001.00	GLY 148					017,322 K0Kon 93,2006, hen skotspiel		784
		problems with wrong packs a dwal			~		100126								
,		Budry a more, if you ald and date a pool class you can like muganit, "biotern' suiter disabled for a death long and enabled for a linear	CR. 2000+ (1308030)	89									ending for pada working (indentia closed, insue is covered by the 0.6 (release carditals, not kolf , when 1)		Athana Saus an
,	1	Fannike pak dab kes	9062	89	87	hitle							will be closed when the ball dial case is closed, new data to parent case will be opened in case of neurosity		Daia M
•	1	Fit side on heady toire loff after upset during a source of effort / Destandarity, and 1	144211	887				CLY_100	QK	1608.05	806	02.09.05	HTOE solwy BV \$3 Kpe I		-
*		CLAS_apped along amove out of CRTU- second approach	1011	88-9	18	CAT/ IN							one after giving BV BOX to 144211 a minuters leading was made doar, 2nd inclumitogent		
6		CLAR, Fack log als land, adoption FR.	-	10.1	87	ritte						-	- Contragen		-
1	1	Fail Request leaders are not gabled after	THER		111	CREFN	1901.05								P2+
	1	CLAR ack VCC wid after teningtion	1000	-	111	propress Printe		_	-		-	-		-	-
	Part Request	Probatis derviced of Poul PAs, mpr. 300	122204	-	111	20841		CLY 112	-		-				P81
0		PR gots provide individual individual on, cualitation gale of products, but roll correct in CLY and Altor, free the roll billed, roll-manual correct kine possible, of eld of 1 0100	06214		A 8	On- foe Galoner									
**	1	\$75-instid (PR. Beeges + \$75/is working but does relige lided	69696		807	Ger fell							Neo-corresponding incidents 100077, 01144 are prioritized also the Vol7 a team		
5	in chilation	kinghony pratil of "scaly to install" after dole ing fm ope (customer absence), should be "wailing for preprod"	109036		78	Dom'ry		617,113					chielle 02107,red elivered		- 10
	Onter Billy	MAP religentible to other more than one line all once, so the appointment for each line	942214		78	0.Mrg		GLY_112							PEI
94		VP noipomble looster non Panore lite alloron, exits application for each lite	940946		AB	Ooking		CLY_112							P81
6	C/H	Asback of blied swip rol possible correctly, child of 06216	09630		/8	Gdwd		CLY_106	OK	0601.06					P81
16	1	91% Winshallong dozed buil 010 to notice lurred	150030		/88	Doking		GUY_112							P81
	1	CPE same band, PRis closed -builige tarnol ladeed and still demological	84307		A8	Oriel		CLY_106	0K	0501.06					-
	1	Catels for 100306,078 batel to avong productional level 1 minutes for INT product	61246		87	On- NR Galoner							Tyrdaen deart occurarynce Hatrideri Mille closed, GDF was dare reardy		
	1	Parry Rr CFE fors	NISH		87	CAPI IN propress		CLY_120							Dala 1
20	ann e	Streeck an rol depayed in the Cast & OUI	5073-0	-	144	Antista			-	-	-	_			-
25		ro ent esení for installans / amortalions , asters domige i lo Afor, manuti conscion	148531		AB	Divel		CLY_106	0K	0501.06					-
-	Moun	when tyling to enter a mose + finites 24s outof range	10000		AB	Doking		64Y_138							P832
23	1	decountiniesting during a more	10222		87	Pilde .									
2	Printing	WEICO penang jenan KOS	96947		AB	CAPI IN									
8	1	follow-p of (#223), problems with former involce procedure and tolling address	1007-00		18	Odved		00Y_111	OK.	0501.06					P81
8	1	Bost masker staning on C Cardning	68324		# Pros	On-NH Galoner									
3	Part Request	SUV_AT JESUS Descriptions such on a loke "Sive	1622+1		807	ritie									-

Paview Status	Cased	Updated Status				Case Status in IPC	Business Impacts	
1. In Pade	BS BS 4	Casad	AT_ITSC_MH States memultible asser Carly and YA/75	P4	7	Ganad		
1. In Rede	830.09	Galed	Telaphony: Telaphony usage displayed twice after up-(downgrade	P2	AM	Clusiol		
1. In Rede	77079	Classif	All Services: Missing print request for some WO and Contracts	P2	A11	Cusof		
1. In Rede	738555	Clusted	All Services (PR): CPC installation failed	P2	est	Cased		
1. In Rede	004010	Closed	Telephony (MR): Data Synchronization	P2		Gased		
3. In Partie	8-49.25	Closed	All Services (PH): Panalty is not applied	.P5	citor	Clusion		
1. In Padar	78182	Grand	Talaphony (PR): PR blocked with the status "Waiting for Deprovisioning"	P2	A01	Cusal		
1. In Plantier	81212	Classed	Internet/OTV : Activation One - Vinia error automator	P2	~	Cissial		
1. In Rede	131.15	Gened	Packs : Charges overlap when upgrading from single product to Packs	P2	A71	Clusted		
1. In Rede	73238	Cased	Apointment: Missing Buttons via Citrix	P3	unacio	Clusof		
1. In Rede	73241	Clesof	Apointment: Carmot oditengineer via Citra	P3	unccio	Casof		
			AT JTSC .M attis					

Netty	Main the drie concern	Celated the dire concern	TROBAST	Main Fecce	Outer .	Shire Shire	100	Paten	E.W.	817.00		BV08	ArbitContests	740	Date to
Peorty	Main Barthe concue	Celated Budlescoloue	lacebest	Mail Feese		0.0180							AdexComments		Peta But Data di
	Addre en Gjæck	Aint to uplicate level P # doesn't hup late After	82138		A8	Chied		CP1_10							
•	lipesisi Searah	Reference in beautifier die bei and hinders Aufber march achte in t	145292		A8	Closed		CLY_99	64:						P\$1
	two us/ 100x		· · · ·						-		-	8		- C	-
		TOP OF 1 MARK CARES ALTON TO 2													
	later	"Real" (* 1 meet) cases without 750													
	***	TOP' &' Real cases with TB 2													
	Greet	Cales delivered (3.47 ill B.s., Vablackirg													
	100	Cases resulted (Closed) since in Tweek													
	Page	Task tech 'Control Customer 68"													
	1999-1	Minute lat													
	PEA	Task Rece "Biophory"													
	ila .	Harnel unter ber ten berten beite Beren													

The internal VTS focuses on internal communication structures, as the cooperation structures of the company internal virtual teams. These virtual teams work together on a project based structure. For each project one main virtual team is founded; if necessary, divided into sub-teams or sub-groups with own group objectives, targets, and performance issues. The internal VTS is used for forming, evaluating, and monitoring the team performance on an internal level.

The inter-organizational VTS concentrates on the strategic alliances that the company has with partners in different countries. The company uses the VTS to improve the daily routines of communication and interaction with headquarters and partner and among different partners, located in different countries, working in different industries. With the VTS performance tools should be in use to standardize communication and the information flow within the company's strategic network. The VTS has been in use for about 10 months and, after a period of 18 months, a review using the VTS should

show the improvement degree for the company's strategic alliances. The results of this forthcoming study (after a period of 9 months) show that with the help of the VTS the strategic orientation of the company, internal communication, performance of the strategic network, and the overall alliance result could be improved (according to the pre-defines key performance indicators). The developed virtual team scorecard covers all the main strategic factors from a management perspective and, in particular, relies on the qualitative factors of the team, determines the quality and group dynamics deficits of a team, and may provide clues for knowing which factors should receive special attention.

5. CONCLUSION

To be able to establish management structures for virtual teams, the virtual team scorecard (VTS) could be used as a main source in the strategic dimension as well as in the operational dimension. A VTS follows the idea of the balanced scorecard, defining different dimensions, key objectives, actions to be taken, performance indicators, and metrics as well as time frames. The VTS developed in this study covers an internal perspective as well as an inter-organizational one and was applied in a company serving the telecommunication industry, operating on multi-national markets and international ones. The results of the forthcoming analysis show that applying the VTS could create a competitive advantage for companies.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] J. Kuba Tova, "Growth of collective intelligence by linking knowledge workers through social media", Lex et Scientia, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2012, pp.135-145.
- [2] K.P. Tripathi, "Role of Management Information System (MIS) in Human Resource", International Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 2011, Vol. 2, No.1, pp.55-62.
- [3] M. Sharma, R. Singh, "Management Information System", 2011, Kalyani Publishers.
- [4] D. Jamwal, N. Singh, "Management Information System Competencies and Strategies", International Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 2011, Vol. 2, No.1, pp.109-112.
- [5] J. Peppard, J. Ward, "Beyond strategic information systems towards an IS capacity," Journal of Strategic Information System, 2004, Vol. 22, No. 13, pp. 167-194.
- [6] R. Kaplan, D. Norton, "Measuring the strategic readiness of intangible assets", Harvard Business Review, 2004, 82, pp.52-63.
- [7] S.K. Liau, S.F. Chen, "Evidence-based Study on Performance Evaluation of Social Educations Institutions with Balanced Scorecard", Journal of Social Sciences, 2010, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 453-458
- [8] R. Kaplan, D. Norton, "Using the Balanced Scorecard as a strategic management system", Harvard Business Review, 1996, 74, pp. 75-85.